

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/820,691	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ABRAHAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> James E. Goodley	<b>Art Unit</b> 2817	

**All Participants:**

(1) James E. Goodley.

(2) Eric Ho.

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 March 2006

**Time:** 10 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 15*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lo et al. (US 6,046,646)*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed introduction of further limitations to claim 15, which are similar to that of claim 1 in order to make the application allowable.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)